

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,774	10/30/2003	Mauricio Rodolfo Carrasco	026254-00021	6897
4372 7.	590 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			PREBILIC, PAUL B	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/696,774	CARRASCO, MAUI RODOLFO	RICIO		
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	lar <u>ch 2006</u> .				
,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4,17,18,22-24,30,3 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-16,19-21,25-29,31,32,34,35,37 	33,36 and 44 is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage		
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/16/04. 	es 🗍 14 av	Patent Application (PTO)-152)		

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group 1 and Species E (Figure 1) in the reply filed on March 21, 2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 3, 4, 17, 18, 22-24, 30, 33, 36, and 44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 21, 2006.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5) because Figure 12 show(s) modified forms of construction in the same view; see the figures above reference numbers 701 to 703. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are

Art Unit: 3738

not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: It is not seen where reference numbers 701 to 703 of Figure 12 are mentioned in the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, on lines 1-2, "the vertebral plates" lacks clear antecedent basis.

On line 3 of claim 1, "the corresponding vertebral faces" lack antecedent basis.

On line 3 of claim 1, "the piece" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3738

On line 4 of claim 8, "includes" is grammatically awkward and should be changed to ----include--- to be less so. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-16, 19, 20, 21, 25-29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37-43, and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claims, 7, 9-16, 19-21, 25-29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 39-43, and 46, claim 7 is indefinite because it is dependent upon an unspecified claim. Claims 9-16, 19-21, 25-29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 39-43, and 46 are dependent upon claim 7 either directly or indirectly, and thus, these claims are indefinite also. Since these claims have a scope that cannot be determined without significant speculation on the Examiner's part, they have not been examined on their merits.

With regard to claim 8, it is unclear which element "having the shape" refers. The claims dependent upon claim 8 are also indefinite since they incorporate the language.

With regard to claim 8, the term "wedge-like" is indefinite because the term "like" makes the scope unclear; see MPEP 2173.05 (b) F.

With regard to claim 38, it is unclear how a wedge having an angle of 0 degrees can be considered to be a wedge.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,774 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 37, 38, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buttermann (US 5,827,328). Buttermann anticipates the claim language where:

- the inner supports as claimed are the fixation members (14) or (16) of
 Buttermann,
- the vertebral spacing means and vertical member are the post (65) and tube (67) of elements (24) of Buttermann,
- the piece of inner support implant is the adjustable member (18) of
 Buttermann; see Figure 1 and column 4, line 23 et seq.

With regard to claims 8, 37, 38, and 45, the jig is not positively claimed and is only functionally limiting as to the claimed implant structure. Since the implant of Buttermann has all the structure implied by the jig and can be used in the manner described, the claim language is fully met.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3738

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Buttermann (US 5,827,328) in view of Li et al (US 6,039,761) or MacMillan et al (US 5,062,850). Buttermann meets the claim language as described supra but discloses an oval instead of a trapezoidal shaped inner support; see Figure 5. However, Li et al or MacMillan teaches that it was known to make similar vertebral implants in a trapezoidal shape; see the Figures of each. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to make the Buttermann fixation members (14) (16) or (33) trapezoidal in order to better match the shape of the vertebra they are designed to be attached.

Conclusion

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott Corrine can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,774 Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Prebilic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738